

# EXHIBIT 19

## FILED UNDER SEAL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION

NETLIST, INC., ( CAUSE NO. 2:21-CV-463-JRG  
)  
Plaintiff, ( )  
vs. ( )  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., ( )  
et al., ( ) MARSHALL, TEXAS  
( NOVEMBER 4, 2022  
Defendants. ) 9:00 A.M.

---

---

MARKMAN HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROY PAYNE  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

---

SHAWN McROBERTS, RMR, CRR  
100 E. HOUSTON STREET  
MARSHALL, TEXAS 75670  
(903) 923-8546  
shawn\_mcroberts@txed.uscourts.gov

1 the limitation could be understood to be something other than  
2 the claimed device. But anyway, I'll -- I will look at that  
3 further.

4 MR. McKEON: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. McKeon.

6 MS. ZHONG: Your Honor, just one clarification. The  
7 reason we want to make sure that the memory module is limiting  
8 is to make sure that each of the claimed elements, printed  
9 circuit board, voltage conversion circuit, and a plurality of  
10 the components, we want to make sure these are all part of the  
11 memory module. Without that, there could be confusion. And  
12 it doesn't seem that Samsung's counsel disagrees with it. We  
13 want that. We just want the clarity so there is no argument  
14 down the road.

15 THE COURT: All right. I understand that position.  
16 Thank you.

17 That takes us to the 'array die' term.

18 And I can tell you, Mr. Sheasby, that the basis of this  
19 construction is the prosecution history disclaimer argument,  
20 in case that wasn't clear.

21 MR. SHEASBY: Understood, Your Honor. And let me go  
22 straight there.

23 So this is the passage from the prosecution history, and  
24 it does, indeed, say that Rajan merely discloses DRAM circuits  
25 206A through D, which are different from the array dies. And

1 I understand that Your Honor has concluded that that is a  
2 disclaimer. The issue that I have is that -- we understand  
3 that -- does Your Honor intend to give anymore guidance as to  
4 what the DRAM circuits are that is excluded, or will that be  
5 for the experts to analyze?

6 THE COURT: That would be for the experts. I do not  
7 intend to try and define what DRAM circuits that are  
8 disclaimed.

9 MR. SHEASBY: I understand, Your Honor.

10 With that clarification, there's no need for any  
11 additional argument. Thank you, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

13 MR. COLVIN: Your Honor, Samsung will rest on the  
14 papers for that term as well.

15 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Colvin.

16 MR. SHEASBY: Your Honor, we will rest as to H on  
17 the papers.

18 THE COURT: All right.

19 MR. COLVIN: Samsung will also rest on the papers,  
20 Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Colvin.

22 MR. SHEASBY: And I believe that I, J, and K are all  
23 the adoption of our positions, and so if Samsung wants to  
24 argue those I'll allow them, obviously. So we don't have to  
25 jump up so often. I won't allow you to do anything. You can

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A  
CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE RECORD OF  
PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.  
I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE TRANSCRIPT FEES  
FORMAT COMPLY WITH THOSE PRESCRIBED BY THE  
COURT AND THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE  
UNITED STATES.

S/Shawn McRoberts 11/07/2022

DATE  
SHAWN McROBERTS, RMR, CRR  
FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER